

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1850 Alekandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usglo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,929	09/22/2003	Adam Smith .	0026-0051	5130
44989	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
HARRITY SNYDER, LLP			SYED, FARHAN M	
11350 Rando SUITE 600	om Hills Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRFAX,	VA 22030		2165	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,929	SMITH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Farhan M. Syed	2165		

	Farhan M. Syed	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCÉ.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo</li> <li>appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : Ilowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-26 and 31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			·
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: (See Continuation Sheet).</li> </ul>	·	JEFFREY GAF UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CEAR	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Claims 1 and 27-30 were amended to include grouping the relevant documents into clusters based, at least in part, on the addresses associated with the relevant documents, each of a plurality of the clusters corresponding to one of the addresses. The Examiner will need to perform an additional search to determine the allowability of these claims.